



D. Psych. (GT) PROGRESS REPORT BY THE DOCTORAL CANDIDATE			
Name of the doctoral study programme:			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
Title, first and last name of the doctoral candidate:			
Scientist ID of the doctoral candidate:		Report for the academic year:	
e-mail:			
Type of study: <i>(please tick box)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> full-time	<input type="checkbox"/> part-time	
MENTOR(S) after 5th SEMESTER			
<i>(name the second mentor (mentor of the theoretical part of the dissertation))</i>			
	Title, first and last name:	Institution, country:	
First mentor:			
Second mentor:			
ANNUAL REPORT			
Has a work plan been made and are you making progress according to that plan? <i>(please tick box)</i>	Work plan has been made:		Progress has been made accordingly:
	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no		<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
If you filled "no" in the previous question, please explain why and propose how to amend that:			
Describe progress made since last report: <i>(max. 500 words)</i>			
Briefly describe the work plan for the upcoming period: <i>(max. 500 words)</i>			
Specify difficulties that are affecting or may affect the course of your studies:			

<p>On a scale of 1 to 5 grade the overall quality of your <u>research progress</u>:</p> <p><i>(since last report)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> 2 - sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> 3 - good <input type="checkbox"/> 4 - very good <input type="checkbox"/> 5 - excellent					
<p>If in the previous question you chose 1 or 2, please explain why and propose how to amend that:</p>						
<p>On a scale of 1 to 5 grade the overall quality of your <u>work</u>:</p> <p><i>(since last report)</i></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> 2 - sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> 3 - good <input type="checkbox"/> 4 - very good <input type="checkbox"/> 5 - excellent					
<p>If in the previous question you chose 1 or 2, please explain why and propose how to amend that:</p>						
<p>Workshops and training abroad:</p> <p><i>(in current academic year)</i></p>	Institution	From	To	Name of workshop or training programme		
<p>Participation in Scientific conferences:</p> <p><i>(in current academic year)</i></p>	Institution	From	To	Title of conference and type of paper		
<p>Courses required by the programme curriculum</p> <p><i>(in the current academic year)</i></p>	Course	ECTS credits	Grade			
MENTOR EVALUATION after 5th SEMESTER						
<p>Please grade on a scale from 1 to 5:</p> <p><i>(1- insufficient, 2- sufficient, 3- good, 4- very good, 5- excellent)</i></p>	Help in planning annual research activities and professional training	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
	Mentor works with doctoral candidate on a regular basis	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
	Encouragement to publish and help in publishing scientific/scholarly works	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
	Mentor's overall relationship with the doctoral candidate	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

EVALUATION OF THE DOCTORAL STUDY PROGRAMME	
On a scale from 1 to 5, please grade your satisfaction with the quality of the annual programme of the doctoral study you are enrolled in:	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - insufficient <input type="checkbox"/> 2 - sufficient <input type="checkbox"/> 3 - good <input type="checkbox"/> 4 - very good <input type="checkbox"/> 5 - excellent
If in the previous question you selected 1 or 2, please explain why and propose how to amend that:	
Date and authorization:	
<p>..... (Date)</p> <p>..... (Full name and signature of Doctoral candidate)</p> <p>Official stamp here:</p>	